

SAMPLE Blog

Note: This is a SAMPLE and it is not the same topic as your posted BLOG assignment.

In-text APA are highlighted.

Posted by xxxxxxxxx

It is more of common sense for one to argue that any health care professional is expected to work using the clean and well-sterilized equipment. Any performance contrary to this is not just a violation of the codes and ethics of health care; rather, it is more of violation of common sense. This is what has been displayed by the dentist Dr. Wayne Scott (Ricciardi, 2013). From the investigations of the sanitary conditions; the patients stood to be predisposed to contacting nosocomial infections. In this case, the patients presented with HIV and some forms of Hepatitis.

First, the dentist can be described to be amoral, since he had no serious consideration of the moral standards of the steps he was taking. The case also had some negligence predominating. It was expected that any normal doctor or even a resident should not be caught up in such a mess. This was also attached with unintentional tort, where the patients were the greatest sufferers amid the situation that presented, as a result of the health care professional not exercising their obligatory moral duty (Fregman, 2016).

This can be viewed to be one of the main reasons why the hospital, as well as the dentist, was subjected to accreditation. The main purpose for this is to ensure that the credibility of the dentist and the health facility at large is confirmed, the questions about the rights of the patients are adequately answered, and they receive justice based on the case. The issue of improper storage of medication may be a common issue as identified and may lead to the quick expiry of medications, as well as lower their potency.

Given such a case, it is noble for the condition of the store to be investigated so that the patients are provided safe medication in future. It is important to note that the conditions that do not match those that are provided by the who concerning the storage of medicines and the safety of equipment be met (Burhenne, 2013). I assume that the primary reason why such unethical scenarios may be common in Tulsa Health center may be because of a poor and unconcerned public health system. Despite the violations of Tulsa Clinic, the public health sector may also be held accountable regarding this issue. In light of this case, the associate's practice needs to be made open and clear so that people work within the given standards. The standards for certification should also be made stricter to ensure that the interests of the public as far as health is concerned are met.

The discontinuation of the services of the clinic is one of the best legal procedures to be followed since it would help in identifying various loopholes that could have been used by dentist and the professionals serving in the clinic to practice such fraudulent and unethical acts (Cole, 2013). Collection and thorough review and analysis of the health records could also serve a similar purpose for the good of the health conditions. According to the article on civil and criminal liability, it is indicated that the physician is charged responsible for any act of unethical nature or any illegality displayed in their medical practice (Cole, 2013). They should also provide a clear explanation to patients regarding any issue that affects them in one way or another. In particular, the corporate executives are the ones held reliable of any unbecoming situation that may be observed. Indeed, it is evidently clear from the above case that unethical cases in the hospital are common, and the public stands to suffer the most. Therefore, the only way that can help out in salvaging the situation is setting up serious and strict legal conditions that will serve as proper guidelines for the operation of these health care sectors. Thorough legal action

should be taken against the violators and the offices whose sectors are affected as such so that a relapse of such situations becomes history in the contemporary society.

Shared Link

I added a link below that is a similar case to the Oklahoma dentist. The alleged malpractice of the doctor has put about 500 children at risk and parents are recommended to consider revaccination to insure that their children are protected.

Orlando Doctor Arrested For Vaccine Fraud: 500 Children At Risk Due To Unsterile, Partial Vaccines
<http://www.techtimes.com/articles/221861/20180226/orlando-doctor-arrested-for-vaccine-fraud-500-children-at-risk-due-to-unsterile-partial-vaccines.htm>

References

Burhenne, M. (2013). 5 things to do at the dentist's office. CNN. Retrieved March 27,2018, from <https://www.cnn.com/2013/03/29/health/dentist-5-things/index.html>

Fremgen, B.F. (2016). Medical law and ethics (5th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Publishing

Petrochko, C. (2013). Oklahoma dentist may have exposed 7,000 to HIV. Med Page Today. Retrieved March 26, 2018, from <https://www.medpagetoday.com/infectiousdisease/hiv/aids/38154>

Ricciardi, Cole (2013). Criminal and civil liability: A risk for healthcare administrators. Retrieved March 26, 2018, <https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/hospital-management-administration/criminal-and-civil-liability-a-risk-for-healthcare-administrators.html>